arXiv:1612.05884v1 [math.AP] 18 Dec 2016

ADDENDUM TO THE PAPER "ON QUASILINEAR PARABOLIC EVOLUTION EQUATIONS IN WEIGHTED L_p-SPACES II"

JAN PRÜSS AND MATHIAS WILKE

This note is devoted to a small, but essential, extension of Theorem 2.1 of our recent paper [6]. The improvement is explained in Section 1 and proved in Section 2. The importance of the extension is demonstrated in Section 3 with an application to the Navier-Stokes system in critical L_q-spaces.

1. The Improvement

Let X_0, X_1 be Banach spaces such that X_1 embeds densely in X_0, let $p \in (1,\infty)$ and $1/p < \mu \le 1$. As in [6], we consider the following quasilinear parabolic evolution equation

$$\dot{u} + A(u)u = F_1(u) + F_2(u),\ t > 0, \quad u(0) = u_1. \tag{1.1}$$

The space of initial data will be the real interpolation space $X_{\gamma,\mu} = (X_0, X_1)_{\mu-1/p,p}$, and the state space of the problem is $X_\gamma = X_{\gamma,1}$. Let $V_\mu \subset X_{\gamma,\mu}$ be open and $u_1 \in V_\mu$. Furthermore, let $X_\beta = (X_0, X_1)_\beta$ denote the *complex interpolation spaces*. We will impose the following assumptions.

(H1) $(A, F_1) \in C^{1-}(V_\mu; \mathcal{B}(X_1, X_0) \times X_0)$.

(H2) $F_2 : V_\mu \cap X_\beta \to X_0$ satisfies the estimate

$$|F_2(u_1) - F_2(u_2)|_{X_0} \le C \sum_{j=1}^m (1 + |u_1|_{X_\beta}^{\rho_j} + |u_2|_{X_\beta}^{\rho_j})|u_1 - u_2|_{X_{\beta_j}},$$

for some numbers $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\rho_j \ge 0$, $\beta \in (\mu - 1/p, 1)$, $\beta_j \in [\mu - 1/p, \beta]$, where C denotes a constant which may depend on $|u_i|_{X_{\gamma,\mu}}$.

(H3) For all $j = 1, \dots, m$ we have

$$\rho_j(\beta - (\mu - 1/p)) + (\beta_j - (\mu - 1/p)) \le 1 - (\mu - 1/p).$$

Allowing for equality in **(H3)** is not for free, there is no free lunch, in particular not in mathematics. We have to impose additionally the following structural **Condition (S)** on the Banach spaces X_0 and X_1.

(S) The space X_0 is of class UMD. The embedding

$$H_p^1(\mathbb{R}; X_0) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}; X_1) \hookrightarrow H_p^{1-\beta}(\mathbb{R}; X_\beta),$$

is valid for each $\beta \in (0,1)$, $p \in (1,\infty)$.

Remark 1.1.

(*i*) *By the* Mixed Derivative Theorem, *Condition* **(S)** *is valid if X_0 is of class UMD, and if there is an operator $A_\# \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(X_0)$, with domain $\mathsf{D}(A_\#) = X_1$, and $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-angle $\phi_{A_\#}^\infty < \pi/2$. We refer to Prüss and Simonett* [9], *Section 4.5.*

(*ii*) *The assumption that X_0 is a UMD space in Condition* **(S)** *cannot be skipped, since the maximal domain of $\left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^\alpha$ in $L_p(\mathbb{R};X_0)$ is given by $H^\alpha_p(\mathbb{R};X_0)$ if X_0 is a UMD space.*

(*iii*) *The Condition* **(S)** *implies the embedding*

$$ {}_0\mathbb{E}_{1,\mu}(0,T) := {}_0H^1_{p,\mu}((0,T);X_0)\cap L_{p,\mu}((0,T);X_1) \hookrightarrow {}_0H^{1-\beta}_{p,\mu}((0,T);X_\beta). $$

Indeed, if $u\in {}_0\mathbb{E}_{1,\mu}(0,T)$, then we first extend u to a function $\tilde u\in {}_0\mathbb{E}_{1,\mu}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ by [7, Lemma 2.5]. *This in turn is equivalent to the fact*

$$ [t\mapsto t^{1-\mu}\tilde u(t)]\in {}_0H^1_p(\mathbb{R}_+;X_0)\cap L_p(\mathbb{R}_+;X_1). $$

In a next step we extend $v(t):=t^{1-\mu}\tilde u(t)$ by zero to $\mathbb{R}_-$ to obtain

$$ \tilde v\in H^1_p(\mathbb{R};X_0)\cap L_p(\mathbb{R};X_1). $$

By Condition **(S)** *it follows that $\tilde v\in H^{1-\beta}_p(\mathbb{R};X_\beta)$, hence $v\in {}_0H^{1-\beta}_p(\mathbb{R}_+;X_\beta)$ and therefore $u\in {}_0H^{1-\beta}_{p,\mu}((0,T);X_\beta)$.*

The announced extension of Theorem 2.1 of [6] is the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that the structural assumption* **(S)** *holds, and assume that hypotheses* **(H1), (H2), (H3)** *are valid. Fix any $u_0\in V_\mu$ such that $A_0:=A(u_0)$ has maximal L_p-regularity. Then there is $T=T(u_0)>0$ and $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(u_0)>0$ with $\bar B_{X_{\gamma,\mu}}(u_0,\varepsilon)\subset V_\mu$ such that problem* (1.1) *admits a unique solution*

$$ u(\cdot,u_1)\in H^1_{p,\mu}((0,T);X_0)\cap L_{p,\mu}((0,T);X_1)\cap C([0,T];V_\mu), $$

for each initial value $u_1\in \bar B_{X_{\gamma,\mu}}(u_0,\varepsilon)$. There is a constant $c=c(u_0)>0$ such that

$$ |u(\cdot,u_1)-u(\cdot,u_2)|_{\mathbb{E}_{1,\mu}(0,T)}\le c|u_1-u_2|_{X_{\gamma,\mu}}, $$

for all $u_1,u_2\in \bar B_{X_{\gamma,\mu}}(u_0,\varepsilon)$.

We call j subcritical if in **(H3)** strict inequality holds, and critical otherwise. As $\beta_j\le\beta<1$, any j with $\rho_j=0$ is subcritical. Furthermore, **(H3)** is equivalent to $\rho_j\beta+\beta_j-1\le\rho_j(\mu-1/p)$, hence the minimal value of μ is given by

$$ \mu_{crit}=\frac{1}{p}+\beta-\min_j(1-\beta_j)/\rho_j. $$

This number defines the *critical weight*. Theorem 1.2 shows that we have local well-posedness of (1.1) for initial values in the space $X_{\gamma,\mu_{crit}}$, provided **(H1)** holds for $\mu=\mu_{crit}$. Therefore it makes sense to name this space the *critical space* for (1.1).

The main difference of Theorem 1.2 to our previous result, Theorem 2.1 in [6], is that here we may allow for equality in Condition **(H3)**, at the expense of assuming **(S)**. In the applications presented in [6], there was no need for this equality, as strict inequality had to be imposed to ensure **(H1)**. But meanwhile we realized that equality in **(H3)** is an important issue. To demonstrate this, we use Theorem 1.2 to study the Navier-Stokes equations, and refer also to the recent paper Prüss [8] for an application to the quasi-geostrophic equations on compact surfaces without boundary in $\mathbb{R}^3$. We mention that the proofs of the remaining results in [6] remain valid without any changes.

2. Proof of the Main Result

We show how to extend the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [6] to the case of equality in **(H3)**. For this purpose we fix any critical index j, i.e.

$$\rho_j(\beta-(\mu-1/p))+\beta_j-(\mu-1/p)=1-(\mu-1/p),$$

and set

$$\frac{1}{r}=\frac{\beta_j-(\mu-1/p)}{1-(\mu-1/p)},\quad \frac{1}{r'}=\rho_j\frac{\beta-(\mu-1/p)}{1-(\mu-1/p)}.$$

Then we have $1/r<1$, $1/r'<\rho_j$, and $1/r+1/r'=1$. Using the notation in the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [6], we start with equation (2.12) in [6]:

$$\begin{aligned}&|F_2(v)-F_2(u_0^*)|_{\mathbb{E}_{0,\mu}(0,T)}\\&\quad\le C_{\varepsilon_0}\sum_{j=1}^m\left(\int_0^T(1+|v(t)|_{X_\beta}^{\rho_j}+|u_0^*(t)|_{X_\beta}^{\rho_j})^p|v(t)-u_0^*(t)|_{X_{\beta_j}}^p t^{(1-\mu)p}dt\right)^{1/p}.\end{aligned}$$

We apply Hölders inequality to the result

$$\begin{aligned}&|F_2(v)-F_2(u_0^*)|_{\mathbb{E}_{0,\mu}(0,T)}\\&\quad\le C_{\varepsilon_0}\sum_{j=1}^m\left((\kappa(T)+|v|^{\rho_j}_{L_{\rho_j pr',\sigma'}(X_\beta)}+|u_0^*|^{\rho_j}_{L_{\rho_j pr',\sigma'}(X_\beta)})|v-u_0^*|_{L_{pr,\sigma}(X_{\beta_j})}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\kappa(T):=\frac{1}{(p(1-\mu)+1)^{1/(pr')}}T^{(p(1-\mu)+1)/(pr')}\to 0,$$

as $T\to 0$ and $1-\mu=r(1-\sigma)=\rho_j r'(1-\sigma')$. Note that σ,σ' are admissible, as

$$\sigma=1-\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\mu}{r}>\frac{1}{pr},\quad \sigma'=1-\frac{1}{\rho_j r'}+\frac{\mu}{\rho_j r'}>\frac{1}{\rho_j pr'}.$$

Next we have by Condition **(S)**, Sobolev embedding and Hardy's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}{}_0\mathbb{E}_{1,\mu}(0,T)\hookrightarrow {}_0H^{1-\beta_j}_{p,\mu}((0,T);X_{\beta_j})\hookrightarrow {}_0H^{1-\beta_j-\frac{1}{pr'}}_{pr,\mu}((0,T);X_{\beta_j})\\ \hookrightarrow L_{pr,\sigma}((0,T);X_{\beta_j}),\end{aligned}$$

as $1/r+1/r'=1$ and

$$1-\beta_j-\frac{1}{p}-(1-\mu)=-\frac{1}{pr}-(1-\sigma),$$

see e.g. Prüss and Simonett [9], Sections 4.5.5 and 3.4.6 or Meyries and Schnaubelt [7]. We emphasize that the embedding constants do not depend on $T>0$. In the same way we obtain the embedding

$${}_0\mathbb{E}_{1,\mu}(0,T)\hookrightarrow {}_0H^{1-\beta}_{p,\mu}((0,T);X_\beta)\hookrightarrow L_{\rho_j pr',\sigma'}((0,T);X_\beta),$$

as

$$1-\beta-\frac{1}{p}-(1-\mu)=-\frac{1}{\rho_j pr'}-(1-\sigma').$$

The triangle inequality first yields

$$|v|_{L_{\rho_j pr',\sigma'}(X_\beta)}\le |v-u_1^*|_{L_{\rho_j pr',\sigma'}(X_\beta)}+|u_1^*|_{L_{\rho_j pr',\sigma'}(X_\beta)},$$

where $u_1^*(t) = e^{-A_0 t}u_1$. This implies with $v(0) = u_1$ the estimates

$$|v - u_1^*|_{L_{\rho_j pr',\sigma'}(X_\beta)} \le C|v - u_1^*|_0\mathbb{E}_{1,\mu}$$
$$\le C(|v - u_0^*|_{\mathbb{E}_{1,\mu}} + |u_0^* - u_1^*|_{\mathbb{E}_{1,\mu}}) \le C(r + |u_0 - u_1|_{X_{\gamma,\mu}})$$

and, by Proposition 3.4.3 of Prüss and Simonett [9],

$$|u_1^*|_{L_{\rho_j pr',\sigma'}(X_\beta)} \le |u_0^* - u_1^*|_{L_{\rho_j pr',\sigma'}(X_\beta)} + |u_0^*|_{L_{\rho_j pr',\sigma'}(X_\beta)}$$
$$\le C(|u_0 - u_1|_{X_{\gamma,\mu}} + \varphi(T)),$$

with $\varphi(T) = |u_0^*|_{L_{\rho_j pr',\sigma'}((0,T);X_\beta)}$. Moreover, it holds that

$$|v - u_0^*|_{L_{pr,\sigma}(X_{\beta_j})} \le |v - u_1^*|_{L_{pr,\sigma}(X_{\beta_j})} + |u_1^* - u_0^*|_{L_{pr,\sigma}(X_{\beta_j})}$$
$$\le C(r + |u_0 - u_1|_{X_{\gamma,\mu}}).$$

Therefore, choosing $T > 0$, $r > 0$ and $|u_0 - u_1|_{X_{\gamma,\mu}}$ small enough, we obtain the estimate $|F_2(v)|_{\mathbb{E}_{0,\mu}} \le r/3$. In fact, $\varphi(T) \to 0$ for $T \to 0$, as $u_0^* \in L_{\rho_j pr',\sigma'}((0,T);X_\beta)$ by Proposition 3.4.3 of Prüss and Simonett [9].

A similar argument applies to the contraction estimate

$$|F_2(v_1) - F_2(v_2)|_{\mathbb{E}_{0,\mu}(0,T)}$$
$$\le C_{\varepsilon_0} \sum_{j=1}^m \left((\kappa(T) + |v_1|^{\rho_j}_{L_{\rho_j pr',\sigma'}(X_\beta)} + |v_2|^{\rho_j}_{L_{\rho_j pr',\sigma'}(X_\beta)})|v_1 - v_2|_{L_{pr,\sigma}(X_{\beta_j})} \right),$$

making use of $|v_i| \le |v_i - u_i^*| + |u_i^*|$ and

$$|v_1 - v_2|_{L_{pr,\sigma}(X_{\beta_j})} \le |v_1 - v_2 - (u_1^* - u_2^*)|_{L_{pr,\sigma}(X_{\beta_j})} + |u_1^* - u_2^*|_{L_{pr,\sigma}(X_{\beta_j})}.$$

3. Application to the Navier-Stokes Equations

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 2$, be a bounded domain with boundary $\partial\Omega$ of class C^{3-}, and consider the Navier-Stokes problem

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u - \Delta u + \nabla \pi = 0, &\quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, &\quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, &\quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ u(0) = u_0, &\quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Here u denotes the velocity field and π the pressure. We consider this problem in $L_q(\Omega)^n$ with $1 < q < \infty$. Employing the Helmholtz projection P and the Stokes operator A, this problem can be reformulated as the abstract semilinear evolution equation

$$\dot{u} + Au = F(u),\ t > 0, \quad u(0) = u_0, \tag{3.2}$$

in the Banach space $X_0 = L_{q,\sigma}(\Omega) = PL_q(\Omega)^n$, with bilinear nonlinearity F defined by

$$F(u) = G(u,u), \quad G(u_1,u_2) = -P(u_1 \cdot \nabla)u_2. \tag{3.3}$$

It is well-known, see e.g. Hieber and Saal [4] or Amann [1], that the Stokes operator $A = -P\Delta$ with domain

$$X_1 = \mathsf{D}(A) := \{u \in H_q^2(\Omega)^n \cap L_{q,\sigma}(\Omega) :\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$$

is sectorial, and admits a bounded $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-calculus with $\mathcal{H}^\infty$-angle equal to zero. Therefore, A has maximal L_p-regularity, even on the halfline, as 0 belongs to the resolvent set of A, and so $-A$ generates an exponentially stable analytic C_0-semigroup in X_0. Thus with $A(u) = A$, $F_1 = 0$, and $F_2 = F$, problem (3.2) is of the form (1.1), and Conditions **(H1)** and **(S)** are valid. To apply Theorem 1.2 we therefore have to estimate the nonlinearity in a proper way. This will be done as follows. As P is bounded in $L_q(\Omega)^n$, by Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$|G(u_1,u_2)|_{X_0} \le C|u_1\cdot\nabla u_2|_{L_q} \le C|u_1|_{L_{qr'}}|u_2|_{H^1_{qr}},$$

where $r, r' > 1$ and $1/r + 1/r' = 1$. We choose r in such a way that the Sobolev indices of the spaces $L_{qr'}(\Omega)$ and $H^1_{qr}(\Omega)$ are equal, which means

$$1 - \frac{n}{qr} = -\frac{n}{qr'}, \quad \text{equivalently} \quad \frac{n}{qr} = \frac{1}{2}(1+\frac{n}{q}).$$

This is feasible if $q \in (1,n)$, we assume this in the sequel. Next we employ Sobolev embeddings to obtain

$$X_\beta \subset H^{2\beta}_q(\Omega)^n \hookrightarrow L_{qr'}(\Omega)^n \cap H^1_{qr}(\Omega)^n.$$

This requires for the Sobolev index $2\beta - n/q$ of $H^{2\beta}_q(\Omega)$

$$1 - \frac{n}{qr} = 2\beta - \frac{n}{q}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \beta = \frac{1}{4}(1+\frac{n}{q}).$$

The condition $\beta < 1$ is equivalent to $n/q < 3$, we assume this below. To meet Conditions **(H2), (H3)**, we set $m = 1$, $\rho_1 = 1$, $\beta_1 = \beta$. Then **(H3)** requires

$$2\beta \le 1 + \mu - 1/p,$$

hence the optimal choice $\mu = \mu_{crit}$ is

$$\mu_{crit} - \frac{1}{p} = 2\beta - 1 = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{n}{q} - 1).$$

Finally, the constraint $\mu \le 1$ requires that p should be chosen large enough, to be subject to the condition

$$\frac{2}{p} + \frac{n}{q} \le 3.$$

Computing the space of admissible initial values then leads to

$$X_{\gamma,\mu} = {}_0B^{n/q-1}_{qp}(\Omega)^n \cap L_{q,\sigma}(\Omega).$$

Applying Theorem 1.2, this yields the following result on local well-posedness of the Navier-Stokes system (3.1) for initial values in these critical spaces.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $q \in (1,n)$, $p \in (1,\infty)$ be such that $2/p + n/q \le 3$, and suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is bounded domain of class C^{3-}.*

Then, for each initial value $u_0 \in {}_0B^{n/q-1}_{qp}(\Omega)^n \cap L_{q,\sigma}(\Omega)$, the Navier-Stokes problem (3.1) admits a unique strong solution u in the class

$$u \in H^1_{p,\mu}((0,T);L_{q,\sigma}(\Omega)) \cap L_{p,\mu}((0,T);H^2_q(\Omega)^n),$$

for some $T = T(u_0) > 0$, with $\mu = 1/p + n/2q - 1/2$. The solution exists on a maximal interval $(0,t_+(u_0))$ and depends continuously on u_0. In addition, we have

$$u \in C([0,t_+);B^{n/q-1}_{qp}(\Omega)^n \cap L_{q,\sigma}(\Omega)) \cap C((0,t_+);B^{2(1-1/p)}_{qp}(\Omega)^n),$$

i.e. it regularizes instantly if $2/p + n/q < 3$.

It is an easy consequence of this result that we also have global existence for initial values which are small in one of the critical spaces.

Corollary 3.2. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 be valid. Then there exists $r > 0$ such that the solution from Theorem 3.1 exists globally, provided $|u_0|_{B_{qp}^{n/q-1}} < r$.*

Proof. By the estimate of $F(u)$ given above, it is easy to show via maximal regularity that $v(t) = u(t) - e^{-At}u_0$ satisfies

$$|v|_{\mathbb{E}_{1,\mu}(0,T)} \le C_1|u_0|^2_{X_{\gamma,\mu}} + C_2|v|^2_{\mathbb{E}_{1,\mu}(0,T)},$$

for each $T < t_+(u_0)$. Here $C_1, C_2 > 0$ are constants independent of u_0 and T. This inequality implies boundedness of $|v|_{\mathbb{E}_{1,\mu}(0,T)}$ on $[0, t_+)$, hence global existence, provided $|u_0|_{X_{\gamma,\mu}} < r := 1/2\sqrt{C_1C_2}$. □

Remark 3.3.

(*i*) *Consider the particular case $n = 3$, $p = q = 2$. Then we have*

$$X_{\gamma,\mu} = {}_0H_2^{1/2}(\Omega)^3 \cap L_{2,\sigma}(\Omega), \quad X_\gamma = {}_0H_2^1(\Omega)^3 \cap L_{2,\sigma}(\Omega),$$

which yields the celebrated Fujita-Kato theorem, proved first in 1962 by means of the famous Fujita-Kato iteration, see [3].

(*ii*) *In the general case, observe that the Sobolev index of the spaces $B_{qp}^{n/q-1}(\Omega)$ equals -1, it is independent of q. These are the critical spaces for the Navier-Stokes equations in nD, their homogeneous versions are known to be scaling invariant in $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$. We refer to Cannone* [2] *for the first results in this direction.*

In a forthcoming paper, we will extend the range of q to $[n, \infty)$. Thus, by the embeddings

$$B^{n/q_1-1}_{q_1,p_1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow B^{n/q_2-1}_{q_2,p_2}(\Omega), \quad 1 \le q_1 < q_2 < \infty,\ p_1, p_2 \in [1, \infty]$$

and

$$B^s_{q,p_1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow B^s_{q,p_2}(\Omega), \quad 1 \le p_1 \le p_2 \le \infty,$$

and by maximal L_p-regularity, this will cover the range $1 \le q < \infty$, $1 \le p \le \infty$.

References

[1] H. Amann. On the strong solvability of the Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **2**, 16–98 (2000)

[2] M. Cannone. On a generalization of a theorem of Kato on the Navier-Stokes equations. *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **13**, 515–541 (1997)

[3] H. Fujita and T. Kato. On the non-stationary Navier-Stokes system. *Rend. Sem. Mat., Univ. Padova* **32**, 243–260 (1962)

[4] M. Hieber and J. Saal. The Stokes Equation in the L_p-Setting: Wellposedness and Regularity Properties. *Handbook of Mathematical Analysis in Mechanics of Viscous Fluids*, eds. Y. Giga, A. Novotny. Springer to appear (2017).

[5] M. Köhne, J. Prüss, and M. Wilke. On quasilinear parabolic evolution equations in weighted L_p-spaces. *J. Evol. Equ.* **10**, 443–463 (2010).

[6] J. LeCrone, J. Prüss, and M. Wilke. On quasilinear parabolic evolution equations in weighted L_p-spaces II. *J. Evol. Equ.* **14**, 509–533 (2014).

[7] M. Meyries, R. Schnaubelt, Interpolation, embeddings and traces of anisotropic fractional Sobolev spaces with temporal weights. *J. Funct. Anal.* **262**, 1200-1229 (2012).

[8] J. Prüss. On the quasi-geostrophic equations on compact surfaces without boundary in $\mathbb{R}^3$. submitted (2016).

[9] J. Prüss and G. Simonett, *Moving Interfaces and Quasilinear Parabolic Evolution Equations*, Monographs in Mathematics **105**, Birkhäuser, Basel 2016.

Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg, Institut für Mathematik, Theodor-Lieser-Strasse 5, 06120 Halle, Germany
E-mail address: jan.pruess@mathematik.uni-halle.de

Universität Regensburg, Fakultät für Mathematik, 93040 Regensburg, Germany
E-mail address: mathias.wilke@ur.de